UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,937	03/15/2004	James Carl Dills	DLS-0401	1290	
25007 7590 05/02/2007 LAW OFFICE OF DALE B. HALLING, LLC 655 SOUTHPOINTE CT, SUITE 100			EXAMINER		
			KOEHLER, CHRISTOPHER M		
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3726		
•					
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/800,937	DILLS, JAMES CARL			
(	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher M. Koehler	3726			
The Period for Re		inication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	VER IS LONGER, FROM THE of time may be available under the provision by MONTHS from the mailing date of this cond for reply is specified above, the maximum eply within the set or extended period for rep	statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT bly will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA s after the mailing date of this communication, even if tin	CATION.  sply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>30 <i>January</i> 2007</u> .				
2a)☐ This	s action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Sin∈	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
clos	sed in accordance with the prac	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	of Claims					
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a)	Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.				
5) <u></u> Cla	im(s) is/are allowed.					
•	im(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	im(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Cla	im(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.				
Application I	Papers					
9)⊠ The	specification is objected to by t	the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2	<u>2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.			
Арр	licant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
•	<u> </u>	ng the correction is required if the drawing(				
11)⊠ The	oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
,	nowledgment is made of a clair .ll b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.[	·	ty documents have been received.				
2		ty documents have been received in Ap				
3.	·	s of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
* \$60	• •	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). tion for a list of the certified copies not r	received			
366	me attached detailed Office dol	ion for a not of the definied copies flot i	occived.			
Attachment(s)						

1	1) ES Notice of References Office (1 10-032)
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
	3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/04, 8/2/04.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3726

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species B in the reply filed on 30 January 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the applicant believes that both species use similar techniques with slight variations. This is not found persuasive because the "slight" variations represented between figures 2 and 3 represent a burdensome search on the examiner. Species B correlates to claims 16-20 while Species A correlates to claims 1-15. Accordingly claims 1-15 are withdrawn from examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be *material to patentability* as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, line 7 applicant incorrectly states that the provisional application was filed on March 17, 2002. Applicant must correct this to state --March 17, 2003---.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/800,937 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

5. Claims 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Bower-Irons et al. (US Patent No. 5,030,425) subsequently referred to as

Bowers.

Claim 16:

Bowers teaches a process of recycling circuit boards comprising the steps of

grinding a circuit board (col. 5, lines 15-22); placing the plurality of pieces in a bio-slurry

(col. 5, lines 23-35); and extracting a metal (gallium arsenide, germanium compounds,

lead and tin solder).

Claim 17:

Bowers teaches the step of determining a level of lead content in a circuit board

and when the level of lead does not exceed a predetermined threshold, i.e. the amount

of lead in the circuit does not exceed the capability of being leached by the particular

culture medium of Bowers, selecting a first type of bio-slurry (the culture medium of

Bowers).

Claims 19 and 20:

Bowers teaches removing a liquid from the bio-slurry and processed to have non-

contaminated water (col. 5, lines 43-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/800,937 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowers in view of Galun et al. (US Patent No. 4,732,681).

# Claim 18:

Bowers teaches the method above but fails to teach selecting a second type of bio-slurry if the level of lead exceeds the threshold. Galun teaches a method of removing heavy metal elements from industrial effluents wherein the level of lead is determined and the appropriate processing using a different type of bio-slurry than that of Bowers is used to remove the higher concentrations of lead (col. 2, line 62-col. 3, line 19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the process of Galun in combination or in place of the process of Bowers when the primary concern is the removal of higher concentrations of lead since the process of Galun is capable of removing high concentrations of heavy elements and Bowers is capable of removing lead but is primarily used to leach gallium and germanium.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Koehler whose telephone number is .

(571) 272-3560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30A-4:00P.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,937 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMK

DAVID P. BRYANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

7/27/07